

Research Ireland Investigators Programme: Stage 1 – Expression of Interest (Eol) Application Handbook

Eol Stage Timeline

Programme Launch	July 30 th 2025
Submissions Deadline	September 17 th 2025 - 13:00 Dublin local time
Outcome Notification	December 2025 - January 2026*

*Projected Date

Eol Proposal Checklist

This Eol proposal checklist is provided as a summary guide for applicants. However, please read this entire handbook and call document carefully.

Proposal Checklist		
Section	Description	Requirements
Proposal Summary	Title	Up to 30 words
	Duration of Award	48 Months (only)
Research Alignment	Primary Research Area	Select from list
	Secondary Research Area	Select from list
Lead Applicant Details	Complete mandatory SESAME Profile information	Mandatory profile fields marked in red
	ORCID iD	Link SESAME profile to ORCID iD
Co-Applicant Details	Complete mandatory SESAME Profile information	Mandatory profile fields marked in red
	ORCID iD	Link SESAME profile to ORCID iD
Main Body of Proposal	Keywords	Max. 15 words
	Research Abstract	Max. 200 words
	Lay Abstract	Max. 100 words
Programme Documents	Research Idea	Max. 1 page
	Research Plan	Max. 3 pages
	References	Max. 1 page

Proposals will only be accepted through SESAME, Research Ireland's grants and awards management system.

Please note that applicants will have to choose whether they will be reviewed as part of an Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences (AHSS) or Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) panel.

There are separate SESAME application forms for the AHSS and STEM streams.

Access to SESAME is controlled by staff at the Research Office of your host Research Body. SESAME is accessed using the internet; no additional software needs to be installed. You can access SESAME online from any location. SESAME supports Microsoft Edge, Chrome and Firefox. With Mac, we recommend that you use either Firefox or Chrome. The configuration of some browsers and internet infrastructure (popup blockers, firewalls, etc.) can restrict an individual's access to the internet and as a result, to the SESAME system. If you are having any such difficulties, please contact your organisation's internal IT support team.

The SESAME system is accessed here: <https://grants.researchireland.ie>

Please see the SESAME Researcher User Guide¹ for more detailed information.

Once submitted by the host Research Body to Research Ireland through SESAME, **an application cannot be withdrawn and subsequently modified for resubmission in the same call, regardless of the date of submission.**

Proposals must be submitted online through SESAME to Research Ireland by the Research Office of the Lead Applicant's Research Body:

Proposal submission requirements:

- **All text in uploaded PDFs** should be provided in Calibri font or similar, with minimum font size of 11, and at least single-line spacing as well as a minimum margin size of 2.5 cm. Text in diagrams may be in any clearly legible font.
- Uploads in SESAME must be submitted in **Adobe or Microsoft PDF format only**. Please ensure to use unencrypted, non-password protected PDFs with the copying function disabled, developed using either Adobe or Microsoft word PDF convertor software only.
- The number of pages in uploads must not exceed the specifications for any given section. Page size must be A4 only.
- Appendices or other unsolicited documentation are not permitted.
- File sizes of attachments should be **less than 5MB**.
- Hyperlinks and URLs are only allowed when specifically noted in call documents or SESAME guidance/instructions. The use of hyperlinks is typically limited to citing information already in the public domain which is non-critical to the evaluation of the proposal. Hyperlinks and URLs may not be used to provide additional information, which would be necessary for application review, and as a means of circumventing page limits. Reviewers are not obligated to view linked sites and are cautioned that they should not

¹ <http://www.sfi.ie/funding/award-management-system/>

directly access a website (unless the link to the site was specifically requested in application instructions). When allowed, you must reference the actual URL text so it appears on the page, for example in brackets or in a footnote, rather than embedding the URL in a specific word or phrase.

- Applicants and Co-Applicants must complete all mandatory SESAME profile fields (marked in red) before submitting an application. It is not possible to submit an application without this information.

Applications not adhering to these requirements, or with incomplete content, will be deemed ineligible and will not be accepted for review, regardless of the date of submission.

It is the responsibility of the Lead Applicant to ensure that eligible proposals are received by Research Ireland before the deadline indicated. In order to safeguard against ineligibility, applicants are reminded to adhere rigorously to the guidelines in the call documentation and handbook and to review the proposal document prior to submission in SESAME.

Please communicate with your research office as early as possible, regarding submission timelines. Applications not submitted before the deadline will not be considered for review under any circumstances.

Please note that proposal eligibility checks will be completed by Research Ireland staff.

Applications cannot be withdrawn and subsequently modified for re-submission in the same call.

ORCID ID

ORCID² provides a unique identifier for all researchers, which can then be linked to their different research works across different platforms. There are a number of benefits to creating an ORCID iD, which include the following:

- ORCID allows you to pull information from different platforms, creating a centralised reference to your different works (e.g., publications, patents, awards) in one location using a single sign in.
- Your ORCID iD is a unique identifier, which distinguishes you from other researchers with a similar name.
- Using the ORCID iD helps to make your research works more visible to funders and publishers. You are able to build a complete picture of your research in one location.

As part of the integration of SESAME with ORCID, it is possible for researchers to import publication data from ORCID directly into their SESAME Research Profile.

Both **Applicants and Co-Applicants** are required to link their SESAME Research Profiles to an ORCID iD **before an application can be submitted.**

² <http://orcid.org/>

Eligibility Summary

Lead /Co-Applicant Eligibility Summary			
Section	Description	Requirements	Call Document Section / Link
Employment	Status	Independent Investigators Only.	3.6.1 (https://www.sfi.ie/funding/sfi-policies-and-guidance/eligibility-related-information/Who-Can-Apply-to-Science-Foundation-Ireland_Final.pdf)
	Research Body	Research Ireland Eligible Research Bodies Only.	3.7 (https://www.researchireland.ie/about/policies/eligible-research-bodies/)
Contract Status	Contract Status	<p>Permanent contract or holds a contract that covers the duration of the proposed research programme at submission or where the host research body provides a guarantee that a contract that covers the duration of the proposed research proposal will be provided by the research body if the grant is successful.</p> <p>Contract held (or guaranteed) must be a minimum 50% Full Time Equivalent contract with the host research body.</p>	3.6.1 https://www.sfi.ie/funding/sfi-policies-and-guidance/eligibility-related-information/Who-Can-Apply-to-Science-Foundation-Ireland_Final.pdf
	Infrastructure /Research Space	For all conditions above, the host research body must commit to providing the appropriate office and (if applicable) research space for at least the duration of the grant.	3.6.1
	Contract Duration	For application purposes please use the following date: Applicants must hold (or be guaranteed) a contract until (at least) November 30th 2030.	

	Post-Doctoral Researchers	Post-Doctoral Researchers are not eligible to apply for this programme.	3.6.1
	Joint/Secondary Appointment	Holders of Joint or Secondary Appointments are eligible to apply but should see the relevant contract conditions in the Who Can Apply policy.	https://www.sfi.ie/funding/sfi-policies-and-guidance/eligibility-related-information/Who-Can-Apply-to-Science-Foundation-Ireland_Final.pdf
Other Applicant Criteria	PhD Age	Must have PhD (or equivalent) qualification for 3 years at submission.	3.6.2
	Publications	No requirements.	
	If you are Lead/Co-Applicant on a second Investigators Programme Application	You may only apply as Lead or Co-Applicant on one Investigators Programme application.	3.6.3
Other Research Ireland Grants	Existing Grants	See Call Document for requirements for if (and when) you may apply, if you hold a current Research Ireland, SFI, or IRC grant.	3.6.3
	Applications Under Review ^{&}	You may not apply for to the Investigators Programme if you have an application under review for the Research Professorship Programme , and/or the Global Talent Ireland Programme .	3.6.3
	Reserve List Applications	You may apply for the Investigators programme if you have an application currently on the FFP 2024 Projects stream, FFP Awards Stream, or 2025 COALESCE Scheme reserve lists.*	3.6.3
Research Body Endorsement		Research Body endorsement on SESAME acts as confirmation that the applicant has met all eligibility criteria. A letter of support will be required at Stage 2	3.6.1

[&] If you have an application under review (at Research Ireland or elsewhere) that has research overlap with the application you intend to submit here, you will have to detail this at Stage 2, and this will have to be

reviewed by your Research Office. Should the two applications contain significant research overlap, your application may be made ineligible. Please consider this in your Stage 1 application.

* Please contact investigators@researchireland.ie if you plan to apply while on another Research Ireland programme reserve list.

Proposal Summary

Please populate the following required proposal sections on SESAME, as outlined below.

Please be aware that proposals sent to reviewers will not include personal or institutional information that you provide in your application form.

As much as possible, please do not include personal or institutional information in your application, as these aspects are not intended to be reviewed at this stage.

- **Proposal Title (max. 30 words)**
The Research Proposal title should clearly convey the nature of the research to be undertaken and should not contain confidential details, given that the titles of funded proposals are published by Research Ireland.
- **Duration of Grant Requested**
Please note that ALL grants funded through the Investigators Programme will have a duration of 48 months.
- **Research Area (Primary)***
Applicants should select a primary Research Ireland research area from the drop-down menu, which best describes the proposed research.
- **Research Area (Secondary)***
Applicants should select a secondary Research Ireland research area from the drop-down menu, which in combination with the primary Research Ireland research area already selected, best describes the proposed research.

*Please see **Appendix B** for more information on Research Areas

Lead Applicant Details

- Please complete the mandatory fields in your SESAME Profile. If you do not have a SESAME Profile, please contact your Research Office.
- ORCID iD
Please link your SESAME profile to your ORCID iD (see above for details).

Co-Applicant Details

Please note that if you add a Co-Applicant to your application at Stage 1, you will be unable to be evaluated as an Emerging Investigator at Stage 2. Please see the Call Document for more details.

If there is a Co-Applicant on the proposal, they must login to SESAME complete the same applicant details as the Lead Applicant (see above).

SESAME does not permit two individuals to concurrently modify a proposal. The Lead Applicant must close the proposal to allow the Co-Applicant to make modifications, and vice versa.

Main Body of Proposal

The following outlines the Investigators Stage 1 (Eol) proposal requirements. Please see Appendix A for details on the review process.

- **Keywords (max. 15)**
These should be descriptors that best characterise the proposed research.
- **Research Abstract (max. 200 words)**
This should be a succinct and accurate summary of the proposed work when separated from the application.
- **Lay Abstract (max. 100 words)**
This should be a succinct and accurate summary in lay, non-technical language of the proposed work when separated from the application.
- **Research Idea (upload max. 1 page)**
 - Please outline the question(s) your proposed research would address.
 - Please discuss the importance or significance of addressing the question(s);
 - The potential originality or novelty of the question(s);
 - Why the question(s) has(have) not been addressed, or adequately addressed, by previous or current research.
- **Research Plan (upload max. 3 page)**
 - Please provide a brief research plan to address the proposed question(s).
 - Please outline key aims **and** the objectives/work packages intended to achieve these aims.
 - Please also outline any key methods or approaches your research will use.
 - You may discuss background information, state of the art, or preliminary data, if relevant.
 - You may also discuss a programme timeline; please be aware that **all** grants are for a 48 month period.
 - You may also provide relevant preliminary data (if available), or further explanatory information, that takes the form of (a) images or figures (with appropriate captions), or (b) tables/charts, including Gantt type charts.
- **References (upload; max. 1 pages)**

Appropriate references and citations for the proposed research must be provided in a separate PDF document. A one-page limit is permitted for uploaded references.

IMPORTANT

For Stage 1 of this review process reviewers will be expert international peer reviewers, but due to the virtual panel nature of the process, should be considered as **generalist reviewers**. Reviewers will be recruited and assigned based on the submissions received and will receive up to 20 proposals at this stage. As such **reviewers may not be experts in the exact subject area of all the proposals which have been assigned to them.**

Please consider this when writing your application, and please review the review criteria (**Appendix A**) to understand how your application will be assessed.

Inclusion of any unsolicited documentation in your application **may make your application ineligible.**

Applicant Acknowledgement of Terms and Conditions

Submission of an application confirms that [Research Ireland's General Terms & Conditions](#) have been read and understood; that the applicant meets eligibility requirements; that the project is in full agreement with all legal and regulatory matters governing research in Ireland; that no aspect of this project is already being funded from another source and that all details provided are correct; that the information supplied in the application is correct and the research proposal is their own work. Failure to comply with requirements outlined in this handbook and the relevant call document, will deem an application ineligible resulting in its withdrawal prior to expert review.

Research Ireland's Grant Conditions shall govern the administration of Research Ireland grants and awards to the exclusion of this and any other oral, written, or recorded statement.

Research Body Approval

Please note that at Stage 1, an official Letter of Support (LoS) from the host research body will not be required, but endorsement of an EoI application on SESAME by the Research Office is considered an acknowledgement of eligibility of **both** the applicant(s) and application.

Submissions must be approved by an authorised Research Body representative. In particular, the host Research Body is endorsing (See *Call document sections 3.6&3.7*) :

- The eligibility of the applicant(s)
- Applicants have a signed contract of employment in place that covers the duration of the requested Grant
- OR the applicants will be guaranteed a contract of employment in place that covers the duration of the requested Grant if their application is successful
- That the proposed research programme has not been funded by other sources

Submission of an application through SESAME serves as the Research Body's endorsement of the eligibility of the Applicant(s) and confirms the validity and accuracy of the details provided in relation to all eligibility requirements.

Proposal Submission

A PDF of the proposal is available in SESAME and should be reviewed prior to submission to enable validation of an application. **The responsibility for verifying that the proposal is ready for submission lies with the Applicant(s) in this regard.** A submission is made initially to the Research Office of the Lead Applicant for approval, prior to final submission by the Research Office to Research Ireland.

Please note that the Co-Applicant and/or the Lead Applicant may choose to complete sections or upload documentation that are common to both applicants (e.g., research summaries, research descriptions, etc.); **however, only the Lead Applicant can submit an application to the Research Body for submission.**

Please contact the Research Office well in advance in order to become familiar with any internal Research Body submission deadlines.

After the submission deadline, applications will not be accepted by SESAME. Therefore, they will not be reviewed by Research Ireland.

Applicants will receive a notification from SESAME periodically when the status of their proposal changes. Alternatively, the status of a proposal (e.g., where a proposal is pending Research Office approval or is under review at Research Ireland) is displayed in SESAME.

Appendix A: Research Ireland Investigators Programme Stage 1 (Eol) Review Process

The review criteria detailed below only applies to the review process at Stage 1 – Expression of Interest.

Score Weighting System:

Review Criteria	Description	Weighting
Research Idea	Reviewers will assess clarity, importance/significance, and originality/novelty of the question(s). If gaps in knowledge are being addressed and if the research area is well understood.	50%
Research Plan	Reviewers will assess the clarity, importance, originality/novelty of the research aims; clarity, novelty, effectiveness of the research design; quality, novelty and appropriateness of methods/approach. Reviewers will also consider whether aims are feasible based on the proposed objectives and approach.	50%
Total		100%

Weighted scores will be rounded to the nearest quarter point, as required by Research Ireland.³ Research Ireland reserves the right to modify the rounding levels, depending on number and quality of applications. See below for detailed breakdown of the ranking process.

Investigators Programme Eol Review Process

All Eols submitted to the Research Ireland Investigators Programme will be assessed for eligibility and those meeting the eligibility requirements will be reviewed as outlined below.

Stage 1 Eol: Review

All proposals will be forwarded to a minimum of two distinguished international peer reviewers for written evaluation and rating under the review criteria outlined below. A copy of the review form is provided in the table below.

- Research Ireland Investigators Programme applications will be reviewed by a **‘virtual panel’** comprised of a large number of international reviewers; where each member of the virtual panel receives a number of proposals, up to twenty, to review. The virtual panels are therefore generalist in nature and reviewers may not be experts in the exact subject area of all the proposals which have been assigned to them.

³ Research Ireland reserves the right to adjust this rounding based on the number of applications received. Applicants will be made aware of any changes to the review process.

Review Type	Eol Review (virtual panel review)
Review Questions	<p>Questions pertaining to the Research Idea</p> <p>Please use your judgement to assess the Research Idea of the proposal. Please consider and comment on the following: Clarity of the question(s), importance/significance of the question(s), originality/novelty of the question(s), gaps in knowledge that are being addressed, understanding of the research area.</p> <p>Please use this assessment to provide one overall score based on the quality of the applicant(s) Research Idea. Please ensure that this score shows clear alignment to your comments. Half scores are permitted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = The question is not clear, the topic addressed is unlikely to be of importance/significance, the applicant has poor understanding of the research area. • 2 = The question lacks some clarity, the topic addressed lack some importance/significance, the applicant has very little understanding of the research area. • 3 = The question is mostly clear, the proposal addresses moderately important/significant topic, the applicant has reasonable understanding of the research area. • 4 = The question is clear, the proposal addresses an important/significant topic, the applicant understands the research area very well. • 5 = The question is very clear, the proposal addresses a highly important/significant topic, the applicant strongly understands the research area. <p>Question pertaining to Research Plan</p> <p>Please use your judgement to assess the Research Plan of the proposal. Please consider and comment on the following: clarity, importance, originality/novelty of the aims, clarity, novelty, effectiveness of the research design, and the quality, novelty and appropriateness of methods/approach. Please consider whether the aims are achievable based on the objectives and approach proposed; Please note all research programmes will be 48 months in duration.</p> <p>Please use this assessment to provide one overall score based on the quality, of the applicant(s) Research Plan. Please ensure that this score shows clear alignment to your comments. Half scores are permitted.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 = The research plan has critical weaknesses. Design and approach lack quality and are not effective to meet research aims. It is very unlikely that aims will be achieved. • 2 = The research plan has a number of weaknesses. Aspects of design and approach lack quality and/or are not very effective to meet research aims. It is unlikely that aims will be achieved. • 3 = The research plan is satisfactory, showing a consideration of quality and effective design and approach, but is lacking in 1 or 2 key areas. Likelihood of aims being achieved is moderate.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 = The research plan is excellent, showing good quality and effective design, novelty and an appropriate approach. Likelihood of aims being achieved is high. • 5 = The research plan is outstanding, showing high quality design, a strong degree of novelty, and an effective and appropriate approach. Likelihood of aims being achieved is very high. <p>Progression Recommendation Considering your assessment of the research idea and research plan, do you recommend that this applicant is invited to submit a full proposal based on this submission?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes / No • Please briefly, but clearly, outline why you have selected your particular recommendation <p>Provisional Ranking Based on the overall quality of this application, do you believe this is one of the TOP 3 applications that you have reviewed in THIS competition?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes / No
--	---

Ranking and Progression

Applications will progress to a ranking list and will be considered for participation in the **Stage 2 Full Proposal review process**, if both reviewers recommend the proposal for Progression.

Applications will not be considered for participation in the Stage 2 Full Proposal Review if one or more reviewers **do not** recommend the proposal to progress.

Applications that are recommended for progression will be ranked based on the system detailed below.

Research Ireland reserves the right to assess applications with very high standard deviations or vastly deviating reviewer opinions using additional reviewers or review through an oversight panel. Should additional reviewers assess an application, review may include an additional review (in line with the relevant stage) or a review of the fairness of the existing reviews. Regarding the latter, additional reviewers may be asked to make a progression recommendation and/or may modify scores where an application is judged to have been treated unfairly and there is sufficient justification and rationale for doing so.

Ranking List

For applications that are recommended for progression by the Stage 1 panel, weighted scores will be rounded to the nearest quarter point.

When ranking applications, in the event of applications receiving the same final score, Research Ireland may give priority in the ranking process to applications from members of Historically Underserved Communities⁴, or may use the 'Provisional Ranking' criteria (see above) as a tiebreaker. Research Ireland may also use a randomisation/lottery process, and/or additional

⁴ Historically Underserved Community encompasses a broad and diverse range of historically marginalised groups including but not limited to the nine protected grounds established in the [Equal Status Acts 2000-2018](#) and socioeconomic status.

tiebreakers. Research Ireland also reserves the right to change the level of rounding, depending on the number and quality of applications. **Applicants and Research Offices will be made aware of any changes to the review process.**

The number of applications that will progress to the Full Proposal review stage will be determined based on the results from Stage 1 and available programme budget. Details of any thresholds will be provided in the outcome notifications.

Appendix B: Research Ireland Investigators Programme Research Area Classifications

As part of the application process applicants will be required to select Primary and Secondary Research areas that most closely align to their proposed research.

Primary STEM Research Areas (Available under the STEM-led Stream)	Primary AHSS Research Areas (Available under the AHSS-led Stream)
Agriculture	Anthropology
Astronomy	Archaeology
Biochemistry	Business and Management
Biomedicine	Celtic Studies
Chemistry	Economics
Computational and Mathematical Biology	Education
Computer and Information Sciences	Environmental Studies
Earth and Environmental Sciences	Film Studies
Energy	French
Engineering	Geography
Food Science	German
Genetics and Genomics	History
Immunity and Infection	Irish Language Studies
Materials Science	Italian
Mathematics	Languages
Microbiology	Law
Molecular and Cell Biology	Linguistics
Networking and Communications Systems	Literature
Neuroscience and Behaviour	Media
Physics	Musicology
	Philosophy
	Politics
	Psychology
	Sociology
	Spanish
	Theatre Studies
	Theology

Secondary STEM Research Areas	Secondary AHSS Research Areas
Age-Related Research	Accounting
Agriculture	Aging
Algorithms	Ancient Greek and Latin Literature and Art
Applied Mathematics	Ancient History
Artificial Intelligence	Animal Communication
Astronomy	Archaeology
Bacteriology	Archaeometry

Biochemistry	Asset Prices
Bioengineering	Banking
Bioinformatics	Behavioural Economics
Biomedicine	Childhood Studies
Biophysics	Classics
Biosensors	Cognitive and Experimental Psychology
Cancer	Colonial and Post-colonial History
Cardiovascular	Communication Networks
Cell Cycle Regulation and Apoptosis	Comparative Law
Cellular Biotechnology	Competitiveness
Chemical Engineering	Constitutions
Chemistry	Corporate Finance
Civil and Environmental Engineering	Criminology
Communication Protocols	Cultural Dimensions of Classification and Cognition
Computational and Mathematical Biology	Cultural Diversity
Computational Chemistry	Cultural Heritage
Computer and Information Sciences	Cultural History
Computer Graphics and Visualisation	Cultural Memory
Computer Vision and Image Processing	Cultural Studies
Cryptography	Democratisation
Developmental Biology	Design
Devices	Development
Diagnostics	Development and Architecture
Distributed Systems	Discourse Analysis
Drug Formulation and Delivery	Early Modern History
Earth and Environmental Sciences	Econometrics
Ecology	Economic Growth
Electronic and Electrical Engineering	Entangled Histories
Energy	Environmental Change and Society
Energy Conservation and Waste	Environmental Regulations and Climate Negotiations
Energy Storage	Epistemology and Logic
Engineering	Evolution of Mind and Cognitive Functions
Enzymes/Catalysts	Family and Fertility
Films and Coatings	Financial Markets
Financial Mathematics	Formal, Cognitive, Functional and Computational Linguistics
Food Science	Gender Studies
Gastrointestinal	Geo-information and Spatial Data Analysis
Gene Structure and Expression	Global and Transnational Governance
Gene Therapy	Global and Transnational History
Genetic Engineering	Governance Legal Studies
Genetics and Genomics	Government
Geotechnologies	Health and Society
Glasses and Ceramics	Health Promotion
Glycobiology	Historiography

Hematology	History of Art and Architecture
High Performance and Grid Computing	History of Collective Identities and Memories
Human Disease and Pathology	History of Economic Thought
Imaging and Microscopy	History of Ideas
Immunity and Infection	History of Literature
Inflammation Research	History of Music
Information Security	History of Philosophy
Information Systems and Web Science	History of Sciences and Techniques
Inorganic Chemistry	Households
Language Technologies	Human Life-span Development
Lasers and Plasmas	Human Rights
Magnetism	Identity
Materials Science	Income Distribution and Poverty
Mathematics	Industrial Organisation
Mechanical and Structural Engineering	Inequalities
Medicinal Chemistry	Information Society
Metabolism	Infrastructure
Microbial Pathogenesis	Innovation
Microbiology	Institutional Economics
Modelling and Virtual Science	Intellectual History
Models of Disease	Interethnic Relations
Molecular and Cell Biology	International Development
Molecular Evolution	International Finance
Nanoscience	International Studies
Nanotechnology	International Trade
Networking and Communications Systems	Kinship
Neurodegeneration	Labour Economics
Neurophysiology	Land Use
Neuroscience and Behaviour	Landscape Archaeology
Optics and Photonics	Language Pathologies
Organic Chemistry	Law and Economics
Parasitology	Lexicography
Patterning and Lithographic Techniques	Library and Information Studies
Pharmacology	Literary Styles
Physical Chemistry	Literary Theory and Comparative Literature
Physics	Macroeconomics
Plant Science	Marketing
Polymer Science	Media
Population Genetics	Medieval History
Protein Structure	Microeconomics
Proteomics	Migration
Pulmonary and Respiratory	Mobility, Tourism, Transportation and Logistics Spatial
Quantum Information	Modern and Contemporary History
Regenerative Biology and Stem Cells	Museums and Exhibitions
Renewables	Music and Musicology

Reproductive Biology	Myth
RNA Processing and Regulation	Neuropsychology
Semiconductors	Organisation Studies
Sensor Networks	Palaeography and Epigraphy
Sensors	Pedagogy
Signal Processing	Perception, Action, and Higher Cognitive Processes
Signal Transduction	Performing Arts
Software Engineering	Philosophy
Spectroscopy	Philosophy of Mind
Spintronics	Political Economy
Statistics	Political Science
Structural Biology	Political Systems and Institutions
Theory of Computation	Political Theory
Theoretical Physics	Population Dynamics
Tissue Engineering	Pragmatics
Toxicology	Prehistory and Protohistory
Vaccines	Psycholinguistics and Neurolinguistics
Virology	Public Economics
Wireless Networks	Quantitative Economic History
	Regional Planning
	Regional Studies
	Religious Studies
	Research and Development
	Resources and Sustainability
	Ritual
	Second Language Teaching and Learning
	Sex/Gender
	Sex/Gender History
	Social and Clinical Psychology
	Social and Economic Geography
	Social and Economic History
	Social and Industrial Ecology
	Social Geography
	Social Mobility
	Social Movements
	Social Policy
	Social Structure
	Social Studies of Science and Technology Environment
	Social Work
	Sociolinguistics
	Statistical Methods
	Symbolic Representations
	Systems and Institutions
	Teaching and Learning
	Terminology

	Textual Philology
	Theory and Methods of History
	Theory and Strategy
	Typological, Historical and Comparative Linguistics
	Urban Studies
	Violence, Conflict and Conflict Resolution
	Visual Arts
	Women's Studies
	Work and Welfare